United States District Court Southern District of Texas

AO 472 (Rev. 1/25) Order of Detention Pending Trial

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

ENTERED

May 27, 2025

for the	Nathan Ochsner, Clerk
Southern District of Texas	
United States of America v. Case No. 4:25-mj-292 Alton Himes Defendant Defendant	
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
Part I - Eligibility for Detention	
Upon the	
	2(f)(1) because
(1) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years of prescribed; or	or more is
 (2) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or dea (3) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or n in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801−904), the Controlled S Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951−971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 70508); or 	more is prescribed Substances Import and

OR

■ B. Motion of the Government or the Court's own motion 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2) because the case involves:	n for a detention hearing pursuant to
(1) a serious risk that the defendant will flee if re	leased; or
(2) a serious risk that the defendant will obstruct	or attempt to obstruct justice or
threaten, injure, or intimidate, or attempt to threa witness or juror if released.	ten, injure, or intimidate a prospective

(4) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in Subparagraphs (1) through (3) of this paragraph or two or more of such offenses if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination thereof; or
(5) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves (a) a minor victim;
(b) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
(c) any other dangerous weapon; or (d) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250;

The Court found that the Government established one or more of the factors above, held a detention hearing, and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

☐ A.	Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
	presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other
	person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
	(1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
	(a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
	§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
	(b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
	(c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801–904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export
	Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951–971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501–70508); or
	(d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in
	subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would
	have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; or
	(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence that involves:
	(i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18
	U.S.C. § 921); (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
	(2) the defendant has been convicted of a federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1),
	or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal
	jurisdiction had existed; and
	(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
	committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, State, or local offense; and
	(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the
⊠ R	defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
Д Б.	rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of
	the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the
	defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
	(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801–904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21
	U.S.C. §§ 951–971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46 (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501–70508);
	(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
	(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
	(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18 (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581–1597) for which a maximum term of
	imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
	(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245,
	2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
□ C.	Application of Any Presumption Established Above
	☐ The defendant has not rebutted the presumption.
	OR
	☐ The defendant has rebutted the presumption.

Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering any applicable presumption, the nature and circumstances of the defendant's alleged conduct, the defendant's history and characteristics, the other factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g), the information presented at the detention hearing, and the available conditions of release under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(c), the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

⊠ By	clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
	e safety of any other person and the community.
	a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure defendant's appearance as required.
	ns for detention include the following checked items (After this list, add any additional items or explanations as comply with the requirement for a written statement of reasons under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i).):
	The offense charged is a crime of violence, a violation of § 1591, a federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device.
	Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong.
	Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted.
	Lack of significant family or other ties to the community.
	Significant family or other ties outside the United States.
	Lack of legal status in the United States.
	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration.
	Lack of stable residence.
	Lack of stable employment.
	Lack of financially responsible sureties.
\boxtimes	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement.
	Use of alias(es) or false documents.
\boxtimes	History of alcohol or substance abuse.
\boxtimes	Prior criminal history.
	History of violence or use of weapons.
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release.
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
\boxtimes	On probation, parole, and/or release pending trial, sentence appeal, or completion of the sentence at the time
	of the alleged offense.
\boxtimes	Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision.
	The defendant's release poses serious danger to any person or the community.

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Defendant Alton Himes failed to rebut the presumption that he should be detained pending trial. Moreover, the nature and circumstances of the charged conduct, which occurred while Defendant while was on release both from a prior federal charge and a more recent state-court felony charge, underscore why Defendant poses both a risk of danger and non-appearance that cannot adequately be mitigated by any conditions of release.

On April 9, 2025, Defendant willingly participated with several others in a raid at a property that he believed to be a temporary stash location for multi-kilogram quantities of cocaine owned by a Mexican drug trafficking organization. Defendant and his cohorts stormed the building, carrying weapons. Although this operation relied on misinformation provided by law enforcement, there is no question that Defendant and his coconspirators were willing to use violence to achieve their aim. And as a prior convicted felon, Defendant could not lawfully possess a firearm in the first place.

Moreover, the fact that Defendant was subject to numerous conditions did not deter him from engaging in criminal conduct. Defendant had recently been placed on supervised release following a federal felony conviction for bank larceny and aiding and abetting. Yet he was arrested shortly thereafter for burglary of a building, a Texas state felony, in March 2025. And despite being released on bond for that state-court felony, Defendant evidently persisted in criminal conduct by committing the charged offense only a few weeks later.

The facts surrounding Defendant's attempt to evade law enforcement only reinforce why he is a poor candidate for release. When law enforcement tried to stop his vehicle on May 19, 2025, Defendant jumped out of the car and fled on foot. And upon his capture, Defendant was found with a pistol with a switch (i.e., converting it from semi-automatic to fully automatic). He could not lawfully possess either of those items, and doing so constitutes both a clear and dangerous violation of his federal supervised release and his state court bond. Defendant has also continued to unlawfully use marijuana and other controlled substances despite those conditions of release. In short, Defendant's persistent disregard of conditions of release, coupled with his attempts to evade the consequences, evince that he poses an unacceptable risk of danger and non-appearance. It is therefore ORDERED that Defendant Alton Himes be DETAINED pending trial.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: May 27, 2025